Technical Partner - IIRA, Bahrain | JV Partner - CRISL, Bangladesh

RATING REPORT

Faysal Bank Limited

REPORT DATE:

July 05, 2017

<u>pk</u>

RATING ANALYSTS: Jazib Ahmed CFA jazib.ahmed@jcrvis.com.

Narendar Shankar Lal

narendar Shankar@jcrvis. <u>com.pk</u>

RATING DETAILS				
	Latest Rating		Previous Rating	
	Long-	Short-	Long-	Short-
Rating Category	term	term	term	term
Entity Rating	AA	A-1+	AA	A-1+
TFC-II	AA-		AA-	
Outlook	Stable		Stable	
Date	June 30, '17		June 28, '16	

COMPANY INFORMATION	
Established in 1994	External auditors: A.F. Ferguson & Co. Chartered Accountants
Public Limited Company	Chairman of the Board: Mr. Farooq Rahmatullah
Key Shareholders (with stake 5% or more):	Acting CEO: Mr. Yousaf Hussain
Ithmaar Bank & Subsidiaries – 66.8%	
General Public – 14.9%	
Foreign Investors – 6.4%	
Public Sector Companies & Corporations – 5.4%	

APPLICABLE METHODOLOGY(IES)

PRIMER - Commercial Banks (December 2001): http://jcrvis.com.pk/images/primercb.pdf Rating the Issue (September 2014): http://jcrvis.com.pk/lmages/criteria instrument.pdf

Technical Partner - IIRA, Bahrain | JV Partner - CRISL, Bangladesh

Faysal Bank Limited

OVERVIEW OF THE INSTITUTION

Ithmaar Bank B.S.C (IB), a Islamic Retail Bank in Bahrain and Kuwait, is the parent bank of Faysal Bank Limited, holding directly and indirectly, 66.78% of FBL's shares. As a result of restructuring in Ithmaar Bank in 2017, shares of

FBL are transferred to IB Bank B.S.C, a wholly

owned subsidiary of

Ithmaar Holding

Company B.S.C.

FBL was operating through a network of 355 (2014: 280) branches at year-end'2016. Islamic banking has 146 (2015: 68) branches. In terms of

branch network, the bank

was the 11th largest bank

in the country at end-

December'2016.

RATING RATIONALE

Faysal bank is considered as a mid-tier bank operating in Pakistan, with a market share of 3% (2015: 3%) in terms of domestic deposits and 4.1% in terms of advances (2015: 4.2%). Long term strategic vision of the Board of Directors and shareholders involves transformation of the business model of the bank from a Conventional bank with an Islamic banking window to a full-fledged Islamic Bank. In this regard, a comprehensive business transformation process has been established; the same is currently in the implementation phase.

Rating Factors

Advances: In order to mitigate the impact of decrease in spreads due to lower interest rates, considerable growth was undertaken in the gross advances portfolio during the outgoing year. Corporate financing portfolio constituted more than three-fourth of the total advances at end-2016. Going forward, growth is envisioned in financing portfolio pertaining to corporate, consumer and commercial & Small and Medium Enterprise segment (CBSME) in 2017, and will be achieved primarily through acquisition of new clients (inorganic sources). In order to achieve the targeted growth, the bank plans to leverage its relationship with existing clients in order to target the corporate value chain; the same will aid growth in advances. Expansion in branch network, with addition of 50 branches in 2017, will facilitate growth in consumer portfolio. Concentration of top-20 customers in the advances portfolio witnessed an increase during the outgoing year. As per management, growth through acquisition of new customers will also help in improving the concentration in portfolio.

Asset quality: Despite improving on yearly basis, gross and net infection ratios compare less favorably in relation to its peers, with the bank utilizing considerable Forced Sale Value (FSV) benefit. However, declining trend is being witnessed in the FSV benefit being availed by the bank on yearly basis. Yearly improvement in infection ratio can be attributed to lower infection ratios in the corporate portfolio. On the other hand, declining commodity prices have adversely impacted infection ratios in agricultural financing. Going forward, management has projected higher recoveries in relation to the provisioning expense, on the back of strong recovery drives. Credit and market risk emanating from investment portfolio is considered manageable given the sizeable proportion of government securities and further reduction in duration of PIBs portfolio held by the bank. Going forward, the sound underwriting policies must be maintained by the bank in pursuing growth in financing portfolio.

Liquidity: Concentration in deposits increased during the outgoing year; however, liquidity profile of the bank is supported by presence of sizeable liquid assets in relation to deposits and borrowings. At end-Q1'17, Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) on the bank was above the minimum regulatory requirement. Moreover, expansion in branch network is expected to improve the granularity in deposits. Financing to Deposits Ratio (ADR) exhibited minimal decrease to 63.5% (2015: 64.6%) in the outgoing year. However, the same remains higher vis-à-vis peers.

Capitalization: Capitalization indicators, including Tier I capital, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) and leverage ratio, have depicted improvement on the back of retention in profits and controlled growth in risk weighted assets. Net NPL to Tier-I ratio of the bank depicted considerable improvement on the back of sizeable recoveries; however, the same remains higher vis-à-vis peers. Accounting for projected growth in advances and branch network, capitalization indicators of the bank are expected to remain comfortable in 2017.

Profitability: Operating profit and profit before tax decreased in the outgoing year on account of lower spreads and higher administrative expenses. Spreads declined on account of low interest rate environment, while expansion in branch network translated into higher administrative expenses. Resultantly, efficiency (cost to income) ratio worsened to 70.7% (2015: 60.4%) in 2016. Profitability in Q1'17 was higher vis-a-vis the corresponding period in previous year on account of realization of suspended markup income pertaining to a client and sizeable capital gains on PIBs. Going forward, profitability of the bank is expected to remain under pressure given the presence of low interest scenario – in line with the overall banking industry, high competition and aggressive branch expansion of the bank. Management aims to mitigate the effect of these factors by targeting volumetric growth in portfolio and ensuring that costs are kept at a minimal level.

Technical Partner – IIRA, Bahrain | JV Partner – CRISL, Bangladesh

FINANCIAL SUMMARY			Appendix I			
BALANCE SHEET (Figures in PKR Billions	DEC 31, 2016	DEC 31, 2015	DEC 31, 2014			
unless stated otherwise)	<u>, </u>	<u> </u>	·			
Total Investments	170	196	155			
Advances	205 181		183			
Total Assets	444	430	388			
Borrowings	53	91	61			
Deposits & other accounts	340	292	283			
Subordinated Loans (in PKR millions)	1,497	2,994	2,995			
Tier-1 Equity	28	24	19			
Net Worth	35	30	26			
INCOME STATEMENT (Figures in PKR millions)	DEC 31, 2016	DEC 31, 2015	DEC 31, 2014			
Net Mark-up Income	12,066	13,955	13,832			
Net Provisioning/(Reversal)	564	1,426	2,359			
Non-Markup Income	6,768	5,564	4,374			
Operating Expenses	11,590	11,166	12,295			
Profit Before Tax	6,659	6,920	3,552			
Profit After Tax	4,302	4,222	2,477			
RATIO ANALYSIS	DEC 31, 2016	DEC 31, 2015	DEC 31, 2014			
Market Share (Advances) (%)	4.1%	4.2%	4.6%			
Market Share (Deposits) (%)	3.0%	3.0%	3.3%			
Gross Infection (%)	13.1%	14.8%	14.3%			
Provisioning Coverage (%)	83.2%	80.7%	80.1%			
Net Infection (%)	2.7%	3.5%	3.5%			
Cost of deposits (%)	3.6%	4.3%	5.3%			
Net NPLs to Tier-1 Capital (%)	19.4%	26.2%	31.6%			
Capital Adequacy Ratio (C.A.R (%))	14.6%	14.4%	12.2%			
Markup Spreads (%)	2.5%	3.0%	3.5%			
Efficiency (%)	70.7%	60.4%	70.6%			
Basic* ROAA (%)	1.1%	1.7%	1.5%			
ROAA (%)	1.0%	1.0%	0.7%			
ROAE (%)	15.6%	17.6%	11.7%			
Liquid Assets to Deposits & Borrowings (%)	45.7%	46.3%	42.5%			
* Recurring Income – Administration						
Expenses						
•						

Technical Partner - IIRA, Bahrain | JV Partner - CRISL, Bangladesh

ISSUE/ISSUER RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

Appendix II

Medium to Long-Term

AAA

Highest credit quality; the risk factors are negligible, being only slightly more than for risk-free Government of Pakistan's debt.

AA+, AA, AA-

High credit quality; Protection factors are strong. Risk is modest but may vary slightly from time to time because of economic conditions.

A+. A. A-

Good credit quality; Protection factors are adequate. Risk factors may vary with possible changes in the economy.

BBB+, BBB, BBB-

Adequate credit quality; Protection factors are reasonable and sufficient. Risk factors are considered variable if changes occur in the economy.

BB+. BB. BB-

Obligations deemed likely to be met. Protection factors are capable of weakening if changes occur in the economy. Overall quality may move up or down frequently within this category.

B+, B, B-

Obligations deemed less likely to be met. Protection factors are capable of fluctuating widely if changes occur in the economy. Overall quality may move up or down frequently within this category or into higher or lower rating grade.

ccc

Considerable uncertainty exists towards meeting the obligations. Protection factors are scarce and risk may be substantial.

CC

A high default risk

c

A very high default risk

D

Defaulted obligations

A-1+

Short-Term

Highest certainty of timely payment; Short-term liquidity, including internal operating factors and /or access to alternative sources of funds, is outstanding and safety is just below risk free Government of Pakistan's short-term obligations.

A-1

High certainty of timely payment; Liquidity factors are excellent and supported by good fundamental protection factors. Risk factors are minor.

Δ-7

Good certainty of timely payment. Liquidity factors and company fundamentals are sound. Access to capital markets is good. Risk factors are small.

Δ-3

Satisfactory liquidity and other protection factors qualify entities / issues as to investment grade. Risk factors are larger and subject to more variation. Nevertheless, timely payment is expected.

В

Speculative investment characteristics; Liquidity may not be sufficient to ensure timely payment of obligations.

С

Capacity for timely payment of obligations is doubtful.

Rating Watch: JCR-VIS places entities and issues on 'Rating Watch' when it deems that there are conditions present that necessitate re-evaluation of the assigned rating(s). Refer to our 'Criteria for Rating Watch' for details. www.jcrvis.com.pk/images/criteria_watch.pdf

Rating Outlooks: The three outlooks 'Positive', 'Stable' and 'Negative' qualify the potential direction of the assigned rating(s). An outlook is not necessarily a precursor of a rating change. Refer to our 'Criteria for Rating Outlook' for details. www.jcrvis.com.pk/images/criteria_outlook.pdf

(SO) Rating: A suffix (SO) is added to the ratings of 'structured' securities where the servicing of debt and related obligations is backed by some sort of financial assets and/or credit support from a third party to the transaction. The suffix (SO), abbreviated for 'structured obligation', denotes that the rating has been achieved on grounds of the structure backing the transaction that enhanced the credit quality of the securities

and not on the basis of the credit quality of the issuing entity alone.

'p' Rating: A 'p' rating is assigned to entities, where the management has not requested a rating, however, agrees to provide informational support. A 'p' rating is shown with a 'p' subscript and is publicly disclosed. It is not modified by a plus (+) or a minus (-) sign which indicates relative standing within a rating category. Outlook is not assigned to these ratings. Refer to our 'Policy for Private Ratings' for details. www.jcrvis.com. pk/images/policy_ratings.pdf

'SD' Rating: An 'SD' rating is assigned when JCR-VIS believes that the ratee has selectively defaulted on a specific issue or obligation but it will continue to meet its payment obligations on other issues or obligations in a timely manner.

JCR-VIS Credit Rating Company Limited

Technical Partner – IIRA, Bahrain | JV Partner – CRISL, Bangladesh

REGULATORY DISC	CLOSURES				Appendix III		
Name of Rated Entity	Faysal Bank Li	mited					
Sector	Commercial Banks						
Type of Relationship	Solicited						
Purpose of Rating		ating					
	Entity & TFC Rating						
Rating History	Rating Date	Medium to	Short Term	Rating Outlook	Rating Action		
	Long Term Outlook RATING TYPE: Entity						
	30-Jun-17				Re-affirmed		
	28-Jun-16	AA	Stable	A-1+	Re-affirmed		
	30-Jun-15	AA	Stable	A-1+	Reaffirmed		
	30-Jun-14	AA	Stable	A-1+	Reaffirmed		
	26-Jun-13	AA	Stable	A-1+	Reaffirmed		
	02-Jul-12	AA	Stable	A-1+	Reaffirmed		
	28-Jun-11	AA	Stable	A-1+	Reaffirmed		
	24-Feb-11	AA	Stable	A-1+	Rating Watch Removed		
	01-Jun-10	AA		A-1+	Rating Watch - Developing		
			RATING TYP	E: TFC - 2			
	30-Jun-17 AA-		Stable		Re-affirmed		
	28-Jun-16	AA-	Stable		Re-affirmed		
	30-Jun-15	AA-	Stable		Reaffirmed		
	30-Jun-14	AA-	Stable		Reaffirmed		
	26-Jun-13	AA-	Stable		Reaffirmed		
	02-Jul-12	AA-	Stable		Reaffirmed		
	28-Jun-11	AA-	Stable		Reaffirmed		
	24-Feb-11	AA-	Stable		Rating Watch Removed		
	27-Sep-10	AA-	Rating Watch –		Final		
			Developing				
	24-Jun-10	AA-	Rating Watc		Preliminary		
	_		Developin				
Instrument Structure	TFC-2 is an uns	secured instrui	ment, sub-ordina	ated to all oth	ner indebtedness of the bank		
	including depo	sits. The TFC f	eatures paymen	ts of 0.20% of	f principle of Rs. 3b in first 60		
	months and re	maining princi	ipal in 4 semi-an	nual installm	ents of 24.95% starting from		
					he instrument was issued in		
			mature in Dece				
Statement by the Rating		-			and members of its rating		
Team			•		ting to the credit rating(s)		
			-		quality only and is not a		
			ell any securities				
Probability of Default	JCR-VIS' rating	s opinions exp	press ordinal ra	nking of risk,	from strongest to weakest,		
	within a unive	se of credit ris	sk. Ratings are no	ot intended a	s guarantees of credit quality		
	or as exact me	asures of the	probability that	a particular is	ssuer or particular debt issue		
	will default.						
Disclaimer	Information he	erein was obta	ained from sour	ces believed	to be accurate and reliable;		
	however, JCR-	VIS does not g	uarantee the ac	curacy, adeq	uacy or completeness of any		
	information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results						
	obtained from the use of such information. JCR-VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are						
	not NRSRO credit ratings. Copyright 2017 JCR-VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All						
	rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to JCR-VIS.						
		55	, 20 2000 09 1101	with			