## **RATING REPORT**

## House Building Finance Company Limited (HBFC)

### **REPORT DATE:**

June 30, 2022

### **RATING ANALYSTS:**

Arsal Ayub, CFA <u>arsal.ayub@vis.com.pk</u>

Musaddeq Ahmed Khan musaddeq@vis.com.pk

RATING DETAILS				
	Latest Rating		Previous Rating	
	Long-	Short-	Long-	Short-
Rating Category	term	term	term	term
Entity	Α	A-1	А	A-1
Rating Outlook	Positive		Stable	
Rating Action	Maintained		Reaffirmed	
Rating Date	June30, 2022		June 28, '21	

COMPANY INFORMATION	
Incorporated in 2006	External auditors: Grant Thornton Anjum Rahman
Unlisted Public Limited Company	Chairman of the Board: Mr. Shehzad Naqvi
Key Shareholders (with stake 5% or more):	Managing Director/CEO: Mr. Imran Ahad
State Bank of Pakistan – 90.31%	
Government of Pakistan – 9.69%	

## APPLICABLE METHODOLOGY(IES)

Rating Criteria: Government Supported Entities (July 2020) <a href="https://docs.vis.com.pk/docs/Meth-GSEs202007.pdf">https://docs.vis.com.pk/docs/Meth-GSEs202007.pdf</a>

# OVERVIEW OF THE INSTITUTION

### RATING RATIONALE

HBFC was incorporated in 2006 as an unlisted public limited company with a mandate to provide financing for housing purposes.

House Building Finance Company Limited ('HBFC' or 'the DFI') provides financing for construction and purchase of residential properties especially to the lower income strata. The DFI operates through a network of 51 branches, 7 area offices and 3 regional offices across the country.

### **Key Rating Drivers:**

#### Profile of Chairman

Mr. Shehzad Naqvi has more than 30 years of experience in financial services. He has spent 18 years in a CEO and Regional Head role primarily with ABN AMRO Bank and CITIBANK. He has worked in Pakistan, Singapore, UAE and UK and has been responsible for business in North Asia, South Asia and the Middle East. He is a graduate of the London School of Economics.

#### Profile of Managing Director & CEO

Mr. Imran Ahad is a seasoned banker with vast experience of working in senior leadership roles in many countries across the Middle East as well as in Pakistan. He has been associated with some of the leading international banks throughout the span of his career, including BCCI, Standard Chartered Bank and NIB Bank where he held various senior level positions. He has recently moved back to Pakistan from the UAE to take up this assignment.

### Ratings draw comfort from the sponsor profile of HBFC

HBFC is owned by the Government of Pakistan (GoP) directly and through State Bank of Pakistan (SBP). The sovereign ownership of HBFC, along with historically demonstrated track record of financial support to the entity, translates in strong sponsor profile, which has been incorporated in to the assigned rating. Legacy issues of HBFC, wherein senior leadership posts were routinely vacant, have now been addressed with the appointment of the new CEO in the preceding year, while there is also a new Board in place. Subsequently, there is now a strategy plan in place, which is viewed positively from a ratings purview.

# Even though asset quality indicators depict room for improvement, mainly on account of sizable legacy non-performing book, the same has been adequately provided for and net infection is considered to be low

Asset quality indicators improved as the non-performing loans (NPL) decreased by 14.2% in 2021. Nevertheless, the DFI's gross infection continues to trend on the higher side vis-à-vis the peer median. It is, however, pertinent to mention that other DFIs in the country undertake lending to other commercial segments, while HBFC has a dedicated housing finance segment. As of Mar'22, HBFC's provisioning coverage is considered adequate, which translates in low net infection ratio for HBFC, in comparison to peers.

#### Ratings take into account HBFC's comfortable liquidity positioning

HBFC's liquidity profile derives strength from its largely equity-funded asset base, wherein borrowings comprise merely a tenth of the asset base. HBFC's liquidity profile is considered sound, in view of considerable liquid assets in relation to borrowings and total liabilities. Furthermore, given largely equity funded operations, asset maturities adequately cover maturing liabilities.

#### Ratings incorporate profitability indicators of HBFC, which compare favorably to industry

HBFC's spread depicted contraction in 2021. The DFI's RoAA, has exceeded the industry RoAA over the past 2-year period (2020-21). In case of HBFC, the lag impact of asset & liability repricing is expected to be more pronounced, given that part of the portfolio features repricing over 2-year tenures. Nevertheless, with funding line at much lower than benchmark rates, HBFC's spread is expected to remain comfortable over the rating horizon. HBFC was also well positioned in terms of their investment holdings as of Mar'22, as a result of which MTM loss caused by the significant movement in benchmark rate is expected to be minimal in case of HBFC. Given the significant uptick in benchmark rates and rising inflation level, the debt repayment capacity of HBFC's clientele has been affected. Accordingly, the same translates in credit risk headwinds, which may translate in fresh NPL accretion in the ongoing year. As per management, as of Jun'22, the credit quality indicators remain intact.

### Ratings incorporate strong capital adequacy of the DFI

The Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) remained above the minimum requirement set by the financial regulatory authorities and VIS benchmarks. In terms of capital adequacy, HBFC compares favorably to peers; this is mainly attributable to the low leveraging of the DFI, with assets to equity ratio of 1.16x as of Mar'22. Given moderate growth projections, largely equity funded operations and stable outlook on profitability, HBFC's CAR is expected to remain strong through the rating horizon.

## VIS Credit Rating Company Limited

## House Building Finance Company Limited (HBFC)

Appendix I

FINANCIAL SUMMARY			(	amounts in Pl	KR millions)
BALANCE SHEET		Dec'19	Dec'20	Dec'21	Mar'22
Total Investments		10,283.8	10,414.2	8,820.2	8,146.4
Net Advances		11,707.2	11,177.5	13,448.9	14,089.7
Total Assets		25,201.8	26,802.3	26,285.3	26,457.0
Borrowings		2,000.0	2,960.0	2,676.2	2,541.2
Total Liabilities		5,093.8	5,904.4	3,835.8	3,697.7
Net Worth		20,108.0	20,898.0	22,449.5	22,759.2
Paid up Capital		19,365.0	19,365.0	19,365.0	19,365.0
Tier 1 Equity		18,284.3	20,856.4	22,389.1	22,700.2
INCOME STATEMENT	CY19	CY20	CY21	Q'1CY21	Q'1CY22
Net Mark-up Income	2,850.3	3,249.5	2,348.0	620.0	673.7
Net (Provisioning) / Reversal	(368.6)	304.0	426.4	144.3	54.1
Non-Markup Income	130.8	135.9	76.3	16.3	19.9
Administrative Expenses	1,618.4	1,657.5	1,491.8	394.8	343.0
Profit (Loss) Before Tax	953.7	1,389.6	1,328.1	377.5	395.5
Profit (Loss) After Tax	2,762.0	1,028.6	986.2	266.4	328.2
RATIO ANALYSIS		Dec'19	Dec'20	Dec'21	Mar'22
Gross Infection (%)		27.8%	27.0%	20.6%	20.5%
Provisioning Coverage (%)		78.4%	88.2%	92.1%	87.5%
Net Infection (%)		7.4%	4.2%	2.0%	3.1%
Cost of borrowings (%)		8.5%	7.7%	6.8%	-
Net NPLs to Tier-1 Capital (%)		4.3%	2.3%	1.2%	2.0%
Capital Adequacy Ratio (C.A.R (%))		133.4%	153.6%	153.1%	151.8%
Efficiency (%)		54.2%	49.0%	61.5%	49.5%
ROAA (%)		12.0%	4.0%	3.7%	5.0%*
ROAE (%)		14.9%	5.0%	4.5%	5.8%*
Liquid Assets to Liabilities (%)		208.7%	202.7%	250.2%	248.8%

<sup>\*</sup>Annualized

### ISSUE/ISSUER RATING SCALE & DEFINITIONS

### Appendix II

#### Medium to Long-Term

Highest credit quality; the risk factors are negligible, being only slightly more than for risk-free Government of Pakistan's debt.

#### AA+, AA, AA-

High credit quality; Protection factors are strong. Risk is modest but may vary slightly from time to time because of economic conditions.

#### A+, A, A-

Good credit quality; Protection factors are adequate. Risk factors may vary with possible changes in the economy.

### BBB+, BBB, BBB-

Adequate credit quality; Protection factors are reasonable and sufficient. Risk factors are considered variable if changes occur in the economy.

#### BB+, BB, BB-

Obligations deemed likely to be met. Protection factors are capable of weakening if changes occur in the economy. Overall quality may move up or down frequently within this category.

#### B+, B, B-

Obligations deemed less likely to be met. Protection factors are capable of fluctuating widely if changes occur in the economy. Overall quality may move up or down frequently within this category or into higher or lower rating grade.

Considerable uncertainty exists towards meeting the obligations. Protection factors are scarce and risk may be substantial.

A high default risk

A very high default risk

Defaulted obligations

## Short-Term

Highest certainty of timely payment; Short-term liquidity, including internal operating factors and /or access to alternative sources of funds, is outstanding and safety is just below risk free Government of Pakistan's short-term obligations.

High certainty of timely payment; Liquidity factors are excellent and supported by good fundamental protection factors. Risk factors are minor.

#### A-2

Good certainty of timely payment. Liquidity factors and company fundamentals are sound. Access to capital markets is good. Risk factors are small.

Satisfactory liquidity and other protection factors qualify entities / issues as to investment grade. Risk factors are larger and subject to more variation. Nevertheless, timely payment is expected.

Speculative investment characteristics; Liquidity may not be sufficient to ensure timely payment of obligations.

Capacity for timely payment of obligations is doubtful.

Rating Watch: VIS places entities and issues on 'Rating Watch' when it deems that there are conditions present that necessitate re-evaluation of the assigned rating(s). Refer to our 'Criteria for Rating Watch' for details. www.vis.com.pk/images/criteria\_watch.

Rating Outlooks: The three outlooks 'Positive', 'Stable' and 'Negative' qualify the potential direction of the assigned rating(s). An outlook is not necessarily a precursor of a rating change. Refer to our 'Criteria for Rating Outlook' for details.www.vis.com.pk/ images/criteria\_outlook.pdf

(SO) Rating: A suffix (SO) is added to the ratings of 'structured' securities where the servicing of debt and related obligations is backed by some sort of financial assets and/or credit support from a third party to the transaction. The suffix (SO), abbreviated for 'structured obligation', denotes that the rating has been achieved on grounds of the structure backing the transaction that enhanced the credit quality of the securities and not on the basis of the credit quality of the issuing entity alone.

(blr) Rating: A suffix (blr) is added to the ratings of a particular banking facility obtained by the borrower from a financial institution. The suffix (blr), abbreviated for 'bank loan rating' denotes that the rating is based on the credit quality of the entity and security structure of the facility.

'p' Rating: A 'p' rating is assigned to entities, where the management has not requested a rating, however, agrees to provide informational support. A 'p' rating is shown with a 'p' subscript and is publicly disclosed. It is not modified by a plus (+) or a minus (-) sign which indicates relative standing within a rating category. Outlook is not assigned to these ratings. Refer to our 'Policy for Private Ratings' for details. www.vis.com.pk/images/ policy ratings.pdf

'SD' Rating: An 'SD' rating is assigned when VIS believes that the ratee has selectively defaulted on a specific issue or obligation but it will continue to meet its payment obligations on other issues or obligations in a timely manner.

## VIS Credit Rating Company Limited

REGULATORY	Y DISCLOSU	JRES			Appendix III		
Name of Rated	House Building Finance Company Limited (HBFCL)						
Entity							
Sector	Development F	inance Institution	n (DFI)				
Type of	Solicited						
Relationship							
Purpose of Rating	Entity Rating						
Rating History	Medium to Rating						
	<b>Rating Date</b>	Long Term	<b>Short Term</b>	Outlook	Rating Action		
		<u>RAT</u>	'ING TYPE: EN	<u>YTITY</u>			
	30-June-22	A	A-1	Positive	Maintained		
	28-Jun-21	A	A-1	Stable	Reaffirmed		
	12-Jun-20	A	A-1	Stable	Maintained		
	13-May-19	A	A-1	Positive	Maintained		
	14-May-18	A	A-1	Stable	Reaffirmed		
	23-Jun-17	A	A-1	Stable	Upgraded		
	28-Jun-16	A-	A-2	Negative	Downgraded		
	30-Jun-15	A	A-2	Positive	Maintained		
Instrument Structure Statement by the	N/A VIS, the analyst	s involved in the	rating process a	nd members of	f its rating committee do		
Rating Team	not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.						
Probability of	VIS' ratings opi	nions express ord	linal ranking of	risk, from stro	ongest to weakest, within		
Default	a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as						
	exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will						
	default.						
Disclaimer	Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable;						
	however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any						
	information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained						
					ating Company Limited.		
		ed. Contents may					
Due Diligence	118110 10001	Name	Design		Meeting Date		
Meetings	1 Mr. Ka	zim Raza Bhayani	Chief Financ		Treeting Date		
Conducted		Faisal Murad	Group Head				
Conducted	Z IVIT.	i raisai winian	Opera		21-June-2022		
	3 Mr. K	hawaja Ehrar-ul-	Head of		21-June-2022		
	J MIT. K	Hassan	Compl				
		1 1 4 5 5 4 1 1	Compi	iance			