### **RATING REPORT**

### Quaid-e-Azam Thermal Power (Private) Limited

#### **REPORT DATE:**

Aug 23, 2023

#### **RATING ANALYSTS:**

Tayyaba Ijaz, CFA tayyaba.ijaz@vis.com.pk

RATING DETAILS							
	Latest Rating		Previous Rating				
	Long-	Short-	Long-	Short-			
Rating Category	term	term	term	term			
Entity	AA	A-1	AA	A-1			
Rating Date	23 Aug'23		30 Jun'22				
Rating Outlook	Stable		Stable				
Rating Action	Reaffirmed		Reaffirmed				

COMPANY INFORMATION	
Incorporated in 2015	External auditors: PWC A.F. Ferguson & Co
Private Limited Company	Chairman: Mr. Zaheer Ahmed Ghanghro CEO: Syed Wasim Ur Rehman
Key Shareholders (with stake 5% or more):	
• Government of Punjab – 99.99%	

#### APPLICABLE METHODOLOGY(IES)

VIS Entity Rating Criteria: Industrial Corporates (May 2023) https://docs.vis.com.pk/docs/CorporateMethodology.pdf
VIS Rating scale (2023)

https://docs.vis.com.pk/docs/VISRatingScales.pdf

#### Quaid-e-Azam Thermal Power (Pvt.) Limited

#### OVERVIEW OF THE INSTITUTION

#### Quaid-e-Azam Thermal Power (Private) Limited (QATPL) was incorporated on March 25, 2015 under the Companies' Ordinance, 1984 (now Companies Act,

# Gulberg III, Lahore. Profile of CEO

is situated at 7-C1,

2017). The registered office of the Company

Syed Wasim Ur
Rehman has around
three decades of
professional experience.
He is an electrical
engineer by profession
and also a business
administration
graduate. Previously he
has served on senior
positions in various

## Profile of Chairman:

Mr. Zaheer Ahmed is an Engineer by profession. He did his engineering in Electrical & IT and Master's in Business Administration from LUMS, Pakistan. He has been in Power Sector for the more than three decades. Currently he is attached with Halmore Power Generation Company Limited "HPGCL" Pakistan in the capacity of CEO. He has also served in WAPDA, in OMS (Pvt.) Ltd, as Managing Director & in Chiniot Power (Pvt.) Ltd as Project Director.

#### **RATING RATIONALE**

Quaid-e-Azam Thermal Power (Private) Limited (QATPL) is wholly owned by the Government of Punjab (GoPb). The company owns and operates re-liquefied Natural Gas (RLNG) based Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plant of 1,163 MW (net) generating capacity at Bhikki, District Sheikhupura, Punjab. The ratings draw comfort from the presence of a clause in the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) whereby QATPL will continue to receive capacity payments during the period of non-supply of gas. In addition, the "Suspension" clause in the PPA allows the company to suspend its plant operations if the receivable amount from Central Power Purchase Agency (Guarantee) Limited (CPPA) at any point in time remains outstanding for 60 days or more while the Company will continue to receive capacity payments during the suspension period. This provision further protects the Company from the circular debt risk. Commercial Operation Date (COD) of combined cycle plant was achieved on May 20, 2018. QATPL has appointed the joint venture of M/s Harbin Electric International Company Limited (HEI), China and M/s Habib Rafiq (Pvt.) Limited, (HR) Pakistan, as the Operation and Maintenance (O&M) contractor of the power plant (excluding gas turbines) for a period of 12 years. The company has entered into LTSA with General Electric International Company, Inc. (GE), for twelve years for operation and maintenance of gas turbines. NEPRA determined the final tariff at COD for the Company on Jan 3, 2023. The ratings incorporate adequate corporate governance framework underpinned by effective board oversight.

#### **Key Rating Drivers**

Power Purchase Agreement (PPA): A 30-year PPA was signed between QATPL and Central Power Purchasing Agency (Guarantee) Limited (CPPA-G) on July22, 2016, on behalf of ex-WAPDA Distribution Companies ("the Power Purchaser") for the sale of its entire power generation. Obligations of CPPA-G are guaranteed by the Government of Pakistan (GoP) under the Implementation Agreement. The PPA covers risk associated with the event of gas non-supply. As per the event, any interruption of supply of gas from and after the Commercial Operations Date (COD) resulting in company's inability to declare full available capacity will be treated as "gas non-supply event" and the Company shall continue to receive capacity payments during the non-supply period. Also, QATPL has the right to suspend the supply of available capacity to CPPA after notice if the receivable amount remains outstanding for 60 days or more.

Gas Supply Agreement (GSA): The Company has entered into a Gas Supply Agreement (GSA) with Sui Northern Gas Pipeline Limited (SNGPL) on 22 July 2016 for 15 years from Commercial Operations Date (COD). Under this contract, SNGPL will arrange RLNG at the plant site. RLNG fuel price shall be determined by Oil & Gas Regulatory Authority (OGRA) on a periodical basis and any change in the fuel price is a pass-through item under the PPA. Prior to expiry of the GSA, SNGPL shall enter into necessary arrangements to ensure the term is extended for a further period of 15 years for which QATPL shall have the first right of refusal for any gas available in the system.

**Determination of COD Tariff:** NEPRA had determined reference generation tariff for the plant on April 14, 2016. In September 2018, the Company filed petition for modification of its generation tariff which was admitted by NEPRA and a modified reference tariff was determined on Jan 27, 2020. In FY21, NEPRA approved an interim order and granted a provisional indexation adjustments on the reference tariff components of capacity and variable O&M. The indexation allowed to the Company was purely on provisional basis till finalization of COD tariff. Accordingly, the Company had recorded Rs. 28.4b as interim relief liability on account of this provisional indexation adjustment. However, after the announcement of COD tariff subsequent to the year end on Jan 3, 2023, indexation impact on account of revised reference tariff components has been actualized and recorded as revenue in the financial statements. Hence, the interim relief liability recorded since COD i.e., May 20, 2018 till Jun 30, 2022 has been reversed.

Revenues and Profitability: Pakistan's power generation capacity mix is highly concentrated in thermal technology with a share of around 61% in FY22. The transition towards nuclear and renewables has been slow. Over a period of four years i.e., from FY18 to FY22, the generation mix has changed from 5% Renewables and 4.1% Nuclear vis-à-vis 8.3% and 6.5% in Nuclear and Renewables, respectively. Hydel generation share in generation mix has remained largely unchanged over this period at 24.5%. Albeit QATPL has remained high in merit order list amongst power projects operating on RLNG, the annual load dispatch

factor of the plant has been reported relatively lower primarily as a result of new capacity additions in nuclear and coal power projects coming online in the past two years under China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) initiatives. In Aug'23, sister concern of QATPL, Punjab Thermal (Pvt.) Limited was also connected to the grid after commencement of its single cycle operations. Besides this, reduction in demand was witnessed in line with economic slowdown induced by various internal and external factors which resulted in further decline in energy delivered in HY23.

	FY21	FY22	HY'22	HY'23
Average annual load	77 %	68%	72%	41%
dispatch factor				
Energy Dispatched	7,119	6,091	3,361	1,897
(GWh)				

Net sales were recorded sizably higher at Rs. 144.3b (FY21: Rs. 78.9b) primarily on account of increase in energy purchase price (EPP) component. The EPP component also included actualized value of revised tariff components following the determination of COD tariff. Gross margins have remained largely intact at 17.5% (FY21: 17.4%) owing to sustained proportion of capacity purchase price (CPP) component. Interest income on delayed payments from CPPA-G (FY22: Rs. 4.7b; FY21: Rs. 2.7b) has supported the bottomline on a timeline basis. Finance cost of Rs. 7.7b (FY21: Rs. 6.7b) was incurred in FY22. Based on corporate tax, tax expense for FY22 amounted to Rs. 250.5m (FY21: Rs. 341.9b), which includes normal tax @ 29% and super tax @ 4%. Income tax on few items of other income is not recoverable from CPPA-G under the relevant clause of PPA. The Company reported higher net profits amounting to Rs. 12.5b (FY21: Rs. 9.2b) despite increase in other expenses and finance cost while net margins were recorded lower at 8.7% (FY21: 11.6%).

During 9M'FY23, net revenues clocked in at Rs. 89.3b (9MFY22: Rs. 82.6b) while gross margins were recorded notably higher at 18.8% (9M'FY22: 13.3%) on account of increase in proportion of CPP component i.e., 20% in 9M'FY23 vis-à-vis 13% in SPLY. Other expenses augmented to Rs. 4.8b (9M'FY22: Rs. 911.0m) largely owing to markup on delayed payment to SNGPL and O&M contractor of Rs. 3.2b (9M'FY22: Rs. 640.7m). Other income, largely including markup on delayed payments from CPPA-G, increased to Rs. 8.6b (9M'FY22: Rs. 5.6b) largely on account of hike in markup rates. Similarly, finance cost also increase to Rs. 8.6b (9M'FY22: Rs. 5.5b). Accounting for taxation, bottomline was recorded considerably higher at Rs. 12.0b (9M'FY22: Rs. 8.0b) with net margins of 13.3% (9M'FY22: 9.6%).

Adequate Liquidity Profile: Liquidity profile of the Company is supported by healthy cash flows generation in relation to its financial obligations. The Company generated Rs. 13.7b (FY22: Rs. 20.2b; FY21: 8.4b) in funds from operations during 9M'FY23. Annualized FFO to total debt and long-term debt have remained adequate at 0.33x (FY22: 0.34x; FY21: 0.13x) and 0.46x (FY22: 0.47x; FY21: 0.13x), respectively. Debt service coverage ratio has also improved to 2.18x (FY22: 1.63x; FY21: 1.25x).

Trade receivables from CPPA-G stood lower at Rs. 58.8b (FY22: Rs. 68.5b; FY21: Rs. 57.3b) as of Mar'23. These receivables are interest free and secured by guarantee from the GoP under the IA. However, a penal markup at the rate of 3 months KIBOR plus 2% is charged, in case the amount is not paid within due date. As per the management, CPPA on average clears the outstanding bills in 50 to 60 days as compared to the regulatory timeframe of 25 days, thereby QATPL earns an interest income on the overdue amount. These receivables also include delayed payment interest and receivable on disputed invoices from CPPA-G amounting to Rs. 15.8b (FY22: Rs. 9.5b; FY21: Rs. 5.4b; FY20) and Rs. 5.1b (FY22: Rs. 4.2b; FY21: Rs. 3.4b), respectively. Advances, deposits, prepayments and other receivables stood included amount recoverable from CPPA-G against various pass through items, receivable from SNGPL and, from CPPA-G against ToP invoices. Cash and bank balances stood at Rs. 13.8b (FY22: Rs. 14.2b; FY21: Rs. 9.4b). These include deposit in LC margin offshore account with NBP and collection accounts totaling at Rs. 4.6b (FY22: Rs. 5.1b) and Rs. 8.5b (FY22: Rs. 8.6b), respectively. These carry markup rates ranging from 12.2% to 15.0% (FY22: 5.5% to 12.25%) per annum.

During the previous years, SNGPL raised certain invoices to the Company aggregating Rs. 12.9b (the "ToP" invoices) for several months from May 2017 to June 2022. QATPL disputed these invoices based on various factual, legal and contractual grounds. Meanwhile, SNGPL withdrew the sum of Rs. 3.26b from Escrow account of the company held as Gas Security Deposit under GSA maintained with the National Bank of Pakistan (NBP) in June'18. These invoices were disputed by QATPL, inter alia, on the grounds that (a) take-or-pay, in terms of the GSA, is applicable only on firm gas allocation pertaining to each month which was missing in 2017, (b) the firm gas allocation deliveries plan was not finalized in the year 2018, and (c) the gas

not taken by QATPL was diverted and consumed in the same tariff sector in all these years. Pursuant to the dispute resolution mechanism provided for in the GSA, an Expert was appointed who rendered his recommendations in Sep'19 that ToP invoices are valid, legal and recoverable from the Company. Upon disagreement with the Expert recommendations, QATPL initiated arbitration before London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) in terms of the dispute resolution mechanism provided for in the GSA. Both parties submitted their closing submissions before LCIA in Aug'21. On Aug 2, 2022, LCIA announced an Award against the Company in respect of the ToP case. The LCIA found the Company liable to pay SNGPL ToP invoices along with markup on delayed payments as defined in GSA. The Company was also determined liable to pay arbitration and legal costs to SNGPL. QATPL has challenged the award in London High Court under section 68 of the Arbitration Act, 1996 of UK and it seeks setting aside/remission of the Award. The expected date of hearing is Dec'23.

SNGPL has filed an application for recognition and enforcement of the Award under section 6 of the Recognition and Enforcement (Arbitration Agreements and Foreign Arbitral Awards) Act 2011 of Pakistan, in the Honorable Lahore High Court (LHC). Meanwhile, the management intend to pursue this case in all other available legal forums including LHC and the management believes that it is possible that the decision will be reversed in favor of the Company.

The Deputy Commissioner Inland Revenue rejected the claim of input tax on construction and installation services and created an additional sales tax demand of Rs. 345.1m for the periods of February' 2017, April-June, 2017. The Company has filed an appeal before ATIR which is pending adjudication. Further, the Additional Commissioner (CPRA) issued an order under relevant section of Punjab Sales Tax on Service Act, 2012 (PSTS Act), creating a demand of Rs. 4.9b. The Company preferred an appeal before the Commissioner (Appeals) PRA, who issued order rejecting the appeal. Therefore, being aggrieved by the order, QATPL filed an appeal before ATIR (PRA) on Nov 26, 2019, which was decided against the Company. Being aggrieved by the order, the Company preferred an appeal before Lahore High Court which is pending adjudication. The Company has not recorded any liability in respect of these demands on basis of legal and factual grounds.

Strengthening Capitalization Profile: Equity base of QATPL augmented to Rs. 67.2b (FY22: Rs. 55.3b; FY21: Rs. 42.7b) due to internal capital generation during 9M'FY23. Total liabilities have decreased to Rs. 96.6b (FY22: Rs. 115.4b; FY21: Rs. 103.1b) mainly on account of reduction in trade creditors which included Rs. 10.7b (FY22: Rs. 33.0b) payable to SNGPL for purchase of RLNG. The Company is liable to pay markup at 1 month KIBOR plus 2% on delayed payments. Amount payable to suppliers remained unchanged at Rs. 5.9b, entailing payable to EPC contractor against offshore and onshore contracts. These pertained to liquidated damages charged to EPC contractor amounting Rs. USD 53.93m due to delays in completion of construction of the plant. The EPC contractor has offered an arrangement whereby security has been offered equal to the amount of such damages till the matter is amicable resolved or settled through arbitration. The amount of LC opened by the Company has been reduced by an equivalent amount. However, since the recoverability of these damages is not virtually certain, therefore, pending the resolution of the matter, the management has not recorded a receivable from EPC contractor.

The company has obtained syndicated term finance facility (STFF) under Common Terms and Accounts Agreement (CTAA) from the consortium of banks. Principal is repayable in semi-annual installments and carries markup at rate of 3 months KIBOR plus 3%, payable semiannually in arrears; the loan facility will be completely retired by end-December 31, 2027. Outstanding amount of this long-term facility stood lower at Rs. 40.0b (FY22: Rs. 42.9b; FY21: Rs. 51.2b) as of Mar'23. In addition, QATPL has availed syndicated working capital facilities of Rs. 15.7b (FY22: Rs. 15.7b; FY21: Rs. 11.7b) from various banks, carrying markup at rate of 3 months KIBOR plus 1.5%. Accrued markup amounted to Rs. 2.7b (FY22: Rs. 525.6m; FY21: Rs. 2.9b) at end-Mar'23. Gearing has decreased steadily on a timeline basis to 0.83x (FY22: 1.06x; FY21: 1.47x) as a result of growth in equity base and repayments of long-term financing.

Corporate Governance: Presently, the Board of Directors (BoD) at QATPL consists of thirteen members including Chairman, CEO, eight independent members and three ex-officio members. The Ex-Officio members (nominee personnel of GoPb) included Secretary Energy, Chairman Planning & Development and Secretary Finance. The financial statements of the company for FY22 were audited by EY Ford Rhodes, Chartered Accountants, who have been reappointed for FY23. The composition of BoD is tabulated below:

Directors	Status
Mr. Zaheer Ahmed Ghangro	Chairman
Mr. Syed Wasim Ur Rehman	Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Naeem Rauf	Ex-Officio Director
(Secretary Energy)	
Mr. Ali Sarfraz Hussain	Ex-Officio Director
(Chairman P&D, GoPb)	
Mr. Mujahid Sherdil	Ex-Officio Director
(Secretary Finance)	
Mr. Abdul Basit	Independent Director
Ms. Aasia Saail Khan	Independent Director
Mr. Khurram Saleem	Independent Director
Mr. Naweed Akhtar Sharif	Independent Director
Mr. Tariq Mehmood	Independent Director
Mr. Muhammad Ali	Independent Director
Mr. Farrukh Ifzal	Independent Director
Mr. Waseem Akhtar	Independent Director

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG): The Company follows its Health, Safety and Environmental policy to provide safe workplace to its employees and minimize the impact of Company's activities on environment. The Company complies with national/provincial environment related requirements e.g., compliance of Revised National Environmental Quality Standards and Punjab Environmental Quality Standards for stack emission, ambient air quality, effluent discharge, noise and soil contamination. Zero effluent discharge policy implemented, all the sanitary & industrial wastewater is treated at plant wastewater treatment plant and then reuse. QATPL plant is certified for ISO 45001 (Occupational Health and Safety Concerns), ISO 14001 (Effective Environmental Management System), ISO 9001 (Quality Management System) and also holds WWF Green Office certification.

# VIS Credit Rating Company Limited

### Quaid-e-Azam Thermal Power (Private) Limited (QATPL)

Annexure I

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (amounts in PKR millions)				
BALANCE SHEET	FY20	FY21	FY22	9M'FY23
PP&E	62,422	60,032	57,561	56,439
Long-Term Advances	2,696	2,939	2,939	2,939
Long-Term Deposits & Prepayments	4,669	4,669	7,691	8,641
Stock in Trade	1,631	1,508	2,469	5,016
Trade Debts	30,035	57,342	68,535	58,806
Advances, Deposits, Prepayments and Other Receivables	11,119	9,931	16,685	16,991
Cash & Bank Balance	10,069	9,372	14,179	13,817
Other Assets	71	8	600	1,179
Total Assets	122,713	145,801	170,659	163,829
Trade & Other Payables	16,543	16,068	56,323	38,306
Accrued Markup	4,810	2,939	526	2,701
Short-term Borrowings	11,675	11,675	15,675	15,675
Long-Term Borrowings (Inc. current maturity)	56,120	51,212	42,883	39,889
Total Debt	67,795	62,887	58,558	55,564
Interim Relief Liability	-	20,942	-	-
Total Liabilities	89,150	103,072	115,406	96,601
Paid Up Capital	21,250	21,250	21,250	21,250
Total Equity	33,563	42,729	55,253	67,228
INCOME STATEMENT	FY20	FY21	FY22	9M'FY23
Net Sales	67,511	78,935	144,280	89,263
Net Sales Gross Profit	67,511 14,213	78,935 13,747	144,280 25,316	
				89,263
Gross Profit	14,213	13,747	25,316	89,263 16,739
Gross Profit Finance Cost	14,213 11,243	13,747 6,738	25,316 7,742	89,263 16,739 8,178
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax	14,213 11,243 6,006	13,747 6,738 9,512	25,316 7,742 12,776	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b>	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 <b>FY20</b> 21.1	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 <b>FY22</b> 17.5	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 <b>FY20</b> 21.1 8.9	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 <b>FY22</b> 17.5 8.7	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 <b>FY20</b> 21.1 8.9 12,157	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6 17,818	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 <b>FY22</b> 17.5 8.7 23,590	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 FY20 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 <b>FY20</b> 21.1 8.9 12,157	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6 17,818	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 <b>FY22</b> 17.5 8.7 23,590	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x) Debt Servicing Coverage Ratio (x)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 FY20 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13 0.11	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16 0.13	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47 0.34	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46 0.33*
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x) Debt Servicing Coverage Ratio (x) ROAA (%)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 FY20 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13 0.11 1.19	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367 <b>FY21</b> 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16 0.13 1.25	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47 0.34 1.63	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46 0.33* 2.18*
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x) Debt Servicing Coverage Ratio (x) ROAA (%) ROAE (%)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 FY20 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13 0.11 1.19 4.8	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367  FY21 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16 0.13 1.25 6.8	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47 0.34 1.63 7.9	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46 0.33* 2.18* 9.5*
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x) Debt Servicing Coverage Ratio (x) ROAA (%) ROAE (%) Gearing (x)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528 <b>FY20</b> 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13 0.11 1.19 4.8 19.7	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367  FY21 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16 0.13 1.25 6.8 24.0	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47 0.34 1.63 7.9 25.6	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46 0.33* 2.18* 9.5* 26.0*
Gross Profit Finance Cost Profit Before Tax Profit After Tax FFO  RATIO ANALYSIS Gross Margin (%) Net Margin (%) Net Working Capital (Rs. millions) FFO to Long-Term Debt (x) FFO to Total Debt (x) Debt Servicing Coverage Ratio (x) ROAA (%) ROAE (%)	14,213 11,243 6,006 6,006 7,528  FY20 21.1 8.9 12,157 0.13 0.11 1.19 4.8 19.7 2.02	13,747 6,738 9,512 9,170 8,367  FY21 17.4 11.6 17,818 0.16 0.13 1.25 6.8 24.0 1.47	25,316 7,742 12,776 12,526 20,178 FY22 17.5 8.7 23,590 0.47 0.34 1.63 7.9 25.6 1.06	89,263 16,739 8,178 12,107 11,976 13,725 <b>9M'FY23</b> 18.8 13.4 32,475 0.46 0.33* 2.18* 9.5* 26.0* 0.83

<sup>\*</sup>Annualized



Sector Power  Type of Relationship Solicited  Purpose of Rating Entity Ratings  Rating History  Rating Date Long Term Short Term Outlook Action  RATING TYPE: ENTITY  23/08/2023 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 30/06/2022 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 24/05/2021 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 28/04/2020 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 04/07/2019 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 04/07/2018 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Initial  Instrument Structure N/A  Statement by the VIS, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.	REGULATORY DISC	LOSURES				Annexure II
Purpose of Rating  Rating History  Rating Date    Medium to   Short Term   Rating   Rating   Rating   Action	Name of Rated Entity	Quaid-e-Azam	Thermal Powe	er Private Limite	d	
Purpose of Rating  Rating History  Rating Date  Rating Date  Rating TyPE: ENTITY  23/08/2023  AA  A-1  Stable  Reaffirmed  24/05/2021  AA  A-1  Stable  Reaffirmed  28/04/2020  AA  A-1  Stable  Reaffirmed  28/04/2020  AA  A-1  Stable  Reaffirmed  04/07/2019  AA  A-1+  Stable  Reaffirmed  04/07/2018  AA  A-1+  Stable  Reaffirmed  19/04/2016  AB  A-1+  Stable  Reaffi	Sector	Power				
Rating History  Rating Date  Rating Type: ENTITY  23/08/2023 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 30/06/2022 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 24/05/2021 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 24/05/2021 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 24/07/2019 AA A-1 Stable Downgrade 04/07/2018 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Initial  Instrument Structure N/A  Statement by the Rating Team committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile. Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.	Type of Relationship	Solicited				
Rating Date Long Term Short Term Outlook Action    RATING TYPE: ENTITY	Purpose of Rating	Entity Ratings				
23/08/2023   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   30/06/2022   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   24/05/2021   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   24/05/2021   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   28/04/2020   AA   A-1   Stable   Downgrade   04/07/2019   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   04/07/2018   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   N/A    Instrument Structure   N/A    Statement by the   VIS, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default   VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer   Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.	Rating History	Rating Date		Short Term		
30/06/2022   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   24/05/2021   AA   A-1   Stable   Reaffirmed   28/04/2020   AA   A-1   Stable   Downgrade   04/07/2019   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   04/07/2018   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   Instrument Structure   N/A    Statement by the   VIS, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default   VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer   Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.			RATI	NG TYPE: EN	ΓΙΤΥ	
24/05/2021 AA A-1 Stable Reaffirmed 28/04/2020 AA A-1 Stable Downgrade 04/07/2019 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Reaffirmed 19/04/2016 AA A-1+ Stable Initial  Instrument Structure N/A  Statement by the VIS, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile. Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.		23/08/2023	AA	A-1	Stable	Reaffirmed
28/04/2020   AA   A-1   Stable   Downgrade   04/07/2019   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   04/07/2018   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Initial   Instrument Structure   N/A		30/06/2022	AA	A-1	Stable	Reaffirmed
O4/07/2019   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   O4/07/2018   AA   A-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed   19/04/2016   AA   A-1+   Stable   Initial		24/05/2021	AA	A-1	Stable	Reaffirmed
N/A   N-1+   Stable   Reaffirmed		28/04/2020	AA	A-1	Stable	Downgrade
Instrument Structure  N/A  Statement by the  Rating Team  Order Default  VIS, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default  VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer  Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date		04/07/2019	AA	A-1+	Stable	Reaffirmed
Statement by the  Rating Team  Committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default  VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer  Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date		04/07/2018	AA	A-1+	Stable	Reaffirmed
Statement by the Rating Team Committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer  Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date		19/04/2016	AA	A-1+	Stable	Initial
Rating Team  committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a recommendation to buy or sell any securities.  Probability of Default  VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer  Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date	Instrument Structure	N/A				
weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a particular issuer or particular debt issue will default.  Disclaimer  Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date	Rating Team	committee do not have any conflict of interest relating to the credit rating(s) mentioned herein. This rating is an opinion on credit quality only and is not a				
reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved. Contents may be used by news media with credit to VIS.  Due Diligence  Name  Designation  Date	Probability of Default	VIS ratings opinions express ordinal ranking of risk, from strongest to weakest, within a universe of credit risk. Ratings are not intended as guarantees of credit quality or as exact measures of the probability that a				
Due Diligence Name Designation Date	Disclaimer	Information herein was obtained from sources believed to be accurate and reliable; however, VIS does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. VIS is not an NRSRO and its ratings are not NRSRO credit ratings. For conducting this assignment, analyst did not deem necessary to contact external auditors or creditors given the unqualified nature of audited accounts and diversified creditor profile.  Copyright 2023 VIS Credit Rating Company Limited. All rights reserved.				
	Due Diligence					Date
	· ·					