SECTOR UPDATE

Cement Industry

September, 2018

Structure of the Industry


Geographically the cement industry in Pakistan is divided into two divisions; namely Southern & Northern region. Province of Punjab, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Azad Kashmir, Gilgit Baltistan and parts of Balochistan fall in North region while Province of Sindh and a few parts of Balochistan fall under South region. In the North Zone there are 14 listed companies whereas the Southern region has 5 listed companies. North region has an annual cement manufacturing capacity of 39.6m MT and South region has a total capacity of 13.89m MT.

Cherat Lucky Others 5% 17% 15% Kohat **Bestway** 5% 15% Askari D.G.Khan Attock 5% 13% 6% Fauii 7% Dewan 6% Maple Leaf 6%

Figure 1: Top 10 Players in the Cement Industry in terms of installed capacity

Both regions (North & South) have their own demand & supply dynamics. Players of the southern region benefit from greater export market availability given their geographical proximity to the sea; providing room for revenue diversification. Reliance of companies in the North on export sales remains low; however local demand in North Zone is higher due to CPEC and other government related infrastructure projects. Key export markets for players operating in the North Zone remains Afghanistan and India. For players operating in the South Zone, Sri Lanka, Nigeria, Tanzania, Mozambique, Iraq, Ethiopia and DR Congo are major export markets.

Locally the industry operates under a marketing arrangement which helps industry players in maintaining prices and margins. As per the arrangement, a quota is assigned to each player based on installed capacity. Given the market arrangement and demand and supply dynamics, local retention prices and margins compare favorably to export sales. In the absence of a marketing arrangement, players with higher efficiencies, wider outreach and better access to export market will enjoy competitive advantage over peers.






Figure 3: Final North Capacities




Figure 4: Existing South Capacities





Figure 5: Final South Capacities



Capacity Utilization

Production capacity of cement sector has more than doubled over the last decade to 46.94m MT/Year (FY06; 20.8m MT/Year). Top 5 players in terms of installed capacities are Bestway Cement (BWCL), Lucky Cement (LUCK), D.G. Khan Cement (DGKC), Fauji Cement (FCCL) and Maple Leaf Cement (MLCF). These top 5 players represent 58% of total installed capacity. Average capacity utilization was around 93% for FY18. Capacity utilization of South was 90.0%¹ as compared to 93.6% in North region.

Table 1: Capacity utilization (In Million Metric Tons)

| Year | Capacity | Total Dispatches | Capacity Utilization | |
|------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| FY18 | 49.5 | 45.9 | 93% | |
| FY17 | 46.4 | 40.3 | 87% | |
| FY16 | 45.6 | 38.9 | 85% | |
| FY15 | 45.6 | 35.4 | 78% | |
| FY14 | 44.6 | 34.3 | 77% | |
| FY13 | 44.6 | 33.4 | 75% | |
| FY12 | 44.6 | 32.5 | 73% | |

^{*}Annualized

Table 2: Capacity utilization – North (In Million Metric Tons)

| Year | Capacity | Total Dispatches | Capacity Utilization | |
|------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| FY18 | 39.6² | 37.0 | 93.6% | |
| FY17 | 39.3 | 32.3 | 82.2% | |
| FY16 | 38.0 | 30.9 | 81.4% | |
| FY15 | 38.0 | 27.9 | 73.5% | |
| FY14 | 37.0 | 27.1 | 73.2% | |
| FY13 | 37.0 | 26.4 | 71.5% | |
| FY12 | 37.0 | 25.6 | 69.3% | |

^{*}Annualized

¹ Adjusted capacity utilization; taking into account 50% of incremental capacities of ACPL and LUCK

² New capacity of Bestway is not included since it came online post FY18.

Table 3: Capacity utilization – South (In Million Metric Tons)

| Year | Capacity | Total Dispatches | Capacity Utilization |
|------|----------|------------------|----------------------|
| FY18 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 90.0% |
| FY17 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 92.8% |
| FY16 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 77.6% |
| FY15 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 97.8% |
| FY14 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 101.7% |
| FY13 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 91.2% |
| FY12 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 89.9% |

^{*}Annualized

Sales

Table 4: Industry Dispatches

| Industry Dispatches (m MT) | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | North | | | | | | | | | |
| Local | 23.44 | 27.05 | 29.14 | 33.96 | | | | | | |
| Exports | 4.47 | 3.85 | 3.15 | 3.08 | | | | | | |
| Total North Dispatches | 27.91 | 30.91 | 32.29 | 37.04 | | | | | | |
| | South | | | | | | | | | |
| Local | 4.76 | 5.95 | 6.51 | 7.17 | | | | | | |
| Exports | 2.73 | 2.02 | 1.51 | 1.66 | | | | | | |
| Total South Dispatches | 7.49 | 7.97 | 8.02 | 8.83 | | | | | | |
| | Total Industry | | | | | | | | | |
| Local | 28.20 | 33.00 | 35.65 | 41.13 | | | | | | |
| Exports | 7.20 | 5.87 | 4.66 | 4.74 | | | | | | |
| Total Industry Dispatches | 35.40 | 38.87 | 40.32 | 45.87 | | | | | | |

The rise in cement dispatches is attributable to rising local volumes with major contribution coming from the North Zone. Local dispatches recorded healthy growth of 15.1% while export sales after declining for the past 4 years recorded a meager increase of 1.69%. Resultantly, proportion of local dispatches has increased from 73.6% in FY12 to 89.7% during FY18 whereas proportion of export has declined from 26.4% in FY12 to 10.3% in FY18.

Table 5: Sales

| Voor | | Dispatches In Tons | Percentage | | |
|------|---------------|--------------------|------------|-------|---------|
| Year | Local Exports | | Total | Local | Exports |
| FY12 | 23,947,165 | 8,567,826 | 32,514,991 | 73.6% | 26.4% |
| FY13 | 25,058,747 | 8,374,104 | 33,432,851 | 75.0% | 25.0% |
| FY14 | 26,145,355 | 8,136,528 | 34,281,883 | 76.3% | 23.7% |
| FY15 | 28,206,027 | 7,194,249 | 35,400,276 | 79.7% | 20.3% |
| FY16 | 33,001,296 | 3,851,615 | 36,852,911 | 89.5% | 10.5% |
| FY17 | 35,651,598 | 4,663,569 | 40,315,167 | 88.4% | 11.6% |
| FY18 | 41,147,391 | 4,746,028 | 45,893,419 | 89.7% | 10.3% |

.

Volumetric increase in exports from the South Zone has been witnessed during FY18 vis-à-vis FY17. Going forward, with sizeable new capacities having come online in FY18 continuation of growth in exports from the South Zone is considered important. In this regard, rupee depreciation during FY18 has made exports more attractive for local manufacturers and is expected to facilitate in maintaining growth momentum in exports. JCR-VIS expects growth in exports from South Zone to outpace North Zone given the sizeable increase in capacities in the South region.




Figure 6: Country-wise Export (No need for percentages on the side)

Business Risk

Over the past few years, the cement manufacturing industry in Pakistan has shown healthy growth in terms of dispatches. Going forward, key factors which are expected to contribute towards local demand include ongoing and upcoming CPEC and infrastructure projects particularly dams and strong focus of the new government on the housing sector. However, increasing interest rates and sizeable current account deficit are expected to result in slower GDP growth. Resultantly, JCR-VIS expects cement dispatches to witness slow down vis-à-vis FY18 but growth is expected to remain higher than GDP growth.

Given the increasing capacity utilization and strong demand outlook, key players in the cement sector announced capacity expansions in 2015 and 2016. Resultantly, installed capacity in the sector is expected to increase to around 75m tons from 45.6m tons at end-FY15. Till July'2018, 5 players including Cherat Cement Company Limited (CHCC), Attock Cement Company Limited (ACPL), LUCK, DGKC and BWCL having aggregate capacity of 8.7m tons have come online. Given the increase in capacities and projected additional capacities expected to come online pricing power of industry players has been impacted. This is also evident from declining margins for industry players during FY18.

As per JCR-VIS, the cement sector is now projected to enter competitive phase with pressure expected on selling prices and rising cost of inputs. After witnessing significant decline during FY18, JCR-VIS expects industry margins to remain under pressure in FY19 on account of higher coal prices due to rupee depreciation and expected increase in competition, particularly in the South region. Expansion projects of three players (LUCK in Dec'2017, ACPL in Jan'2018 and DGKC in May'2018) representing around two-third of existing capacity have come online over the last 6 months. While the marketing arrangement has largely operated smoothly post expansion by LUCK and ACPL, JCR-VIS expects prices to come under pressure given that DGKC's plant comprises a single line and is more efficient. However, DGKC's limited presence in export markets and brand strength in the South zone compares less favorably to other two established players.

Expansion in the North Zone are coming online in a more staggered manner over the next two to three years but smooth functioning of the marketing arrangement remains a concern given the price cuts witnessed during 1HFY18 despite only CHCC having come online. Given the macroeconomic environment (increasing interest rates and slower GDP growth), those players that have completed expansions are considered to pose lower business risk. This is on account of elimination of construction and rupee depreciation risk (pertaining to imported plant and machinery) while players will also enjoy higher quota in the intervening period and lower average finance cost pertaining to the project.

<u>Profitability</u>: Figure 7: Profitability Indicators

| gure 7: Profitability Indicators Lucky Cement | | | | | | ВМ | /CL | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 FY17 9M18 9 | | | |
| Net sales | 45,135 | 45,687 | 35,671 | 35,241 | 45,721 | 51,623 | 40,249 | 39,133 |
| Gross Profit | 21,746 | 21,298 | 13,093 | 16,951 | 21,148 | 22,533 | 14,462 | 17,285 |
| Gross margin | 48% | 47% | 37% | 48% | 46% | 44% | 36% | 44% |
| PBT | 18,400 | 18,778 | 11,979 | 14,669 | 17,078 | 18,664 | 11,698 | 14,463 |
| PAT | 12,944 | 13,692 | 9,802 | 10,422 | 11,880 | 13,293 | 8,712 | 10,568 |
| Net Margin | 29% | 30% | 27% | 30% | 26% | 26% | 22% | 27% |
| | ľ | MLCF | | | | DG | iKC | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| Net sales | 23,433 | 23,992 | 19,317 | 18,294 | 29,704 | 30,136 | 23,375 | 22,633 |
| Gross Profit | 10,022 | 9,482 | 7,624 | 7,624 | 12,668 | 11,845 | 7,169 | 9,215 |
| Gross margin | 43% | 40% | 32% | 42% | 43% | 39% | 31% | 41% |
| PBT | 7,118 | 6,870 | 3,886 | 5,697 | 12,481 | 11,158 | 6.688 | 8,722 |
| PAT | 4,885 | 4,777 | 2,854 | 4,052 | 8,790 | 7,975 | 4,970 | 6,454 |
| Net Margin | 21% | 20% | 15% | 22% | 30% | 26% | 21% | 29% |
| | Fauji | Cement | | | Askari | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| Net sales | 20,044 | 20,423 | 15,814 | 15,760 | 15,600 | 15,953 | 11,468 | 12,192 |
| Gross Profit | 9,165 | 4,438 | 3,728 | 3,462 | 5,463 | 5,118 | 2,839 | 4,149 |
| Gross margin | 46% | 22% | 24% | 22% | 35% | 32% | 25% | 34% |
| PBT | 7,831 | 3,930 | 2,987 | 2,828 | 4,234 | 3,955 | 2,023 | 3,267 |
| PAT | 5,367 | 2,613 | 2,122 | 1,972 | 2,983 | 2,694 | 1,434 | 2,349 |
| Net Margin | 26.8% | 12.8% | 13% | 13% | 19% | 17% | 13% | 19% |
| | , | ACPL | | | Kohat Cement | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| Net sales | 13,918 | 14,736 | 12,142 | 11,242 | 14,020 | 13,540 | 10,385 | 10,658 |
| Gross Profit | 5,587 | 5,892 | 3,980 | 4,574 | 6,497 | 5,827 | 3,515 | 4,816 |
| Gross margin | 40% | 40% | 33% | 41% | 46% | 43% | 34% | 45% |
| PBT | 4,236 | 4,444 | 2,606 | 3,347 | 6,252 | 5,520 | 3,232 | 4,491 |
| PAT | 2,890 | 3,034 | 1,827 | 2,238 | 4,408 | 3,545 | 2,296 | 3,121 |
| Net Margin | 21% | 21% | 15% | 20% | 31% | 26% | 22% | 29% |
| | (| СНСС | | | | Ghar | ibwal | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| Net sales | 7,079 | 9,645 | 11,148 | 6,714 | 10,522 | 11,223 | 8,513 | 8,370 |
| Gross Profit | 2,634 | 3,213 | 2,569 | 2,515 | 4,172 | 3,854 | 2,061 | 2,886 |
| Gross margin | 37% | 33% | 23% | 37% | 40% | 34% | 24% | 34% |
| PBT | 2,051 | 2,510 | 1,821 | 2,006 | 3,707 | 3,045 | 1,387 | 2,327 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| PAT | 1,405 | 1,957 | 1,795 | 1,567 | 2,694 | 2,284 | 1,000 | 1,701 |

| PIOC | | | | | Thatta Cement | | | | |
|--------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | |
| Net sales | 9,367 | 10,631 | 7,505 | 8,089 | 2,846 | 3,657 | 2,174 | 2,852 | |
| Gross Profit | 4,005 | 4,428 | 2,062 | 3,325 | 914 | 1,163 | 629 | 917 | |
| Gross margin | 43% | 42% | 27% | 41% | 32% | 32% | 29% | 32% | |
| PBT | 3,846 | 4,070 | 1,654 | 3,164 | 666 | 855 | 430 | 748 | |
| PAT | 2,519 | 2,918 | 1,149 | 2,399 | 614 | 582 | 308 | 550 | |
| Net Margin | 27% | 27% | 15% | 30% | 22% | 16% | 14% | 19% | |
| | FECTO | O Cement | | | Power Cement | | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | |
| Net sales | 5,032 | 5,131 | 3,841 | 4,006 | 4,144 | 4,481 | 3,310 | 3,327 | |
| Gross Profit | 1,623 | 1,557 | 784 | 1,235 | 947 | 981 | 618 | 682 | |
| Gross margin | 32% | 30% | 20% | 31% | 23% | 22% | 19% | 21% | |
| PBT | 1,159 | 1,091 | 446 | 865 | 765 | 565 | 402 | 420 | |
| PAT | 814 | 761 | 330 | 607 | 486 | 467 | 289 | 324 | |
| Net Margin | 16% | 15% | 9% | 15% | 12% | 10% | 9% | 10% | |

- Despite slight decline in retention prices during FY18, net sales of industry players depicted increase
 due to growth in dispatches. In terms of growth in dispatches, players whose capacities have online
 (CHCC, LUCK, and ACPL) have showcased the highest increase in net sales.
- Gross margins have depicted a sharp decline due to inability to pass on increase in coal prices, decline
 in retention prices and higher proportion of clinker exports for some players. Variation in gross margins
 across industry players is a function of differences in plant efficiencies in terms of Kcal and KWH consumption, cost of fuel being used, proportion of local and export sales in sales mix and differences in
 retention prices being charged. MLCF reported the highest gross margin amongst industry players during 9MFY18.
- Overall profitability witnessed decline due to pressure on margins with most players recording double
 digit decline in profit before tax. Only CHCC (due to higher dispatches on account of full year impact of
 increase in capacity) and FCCL recorded an increase in profitability. Going forward, profitability is expected to remain under pressure given sizeable capacities coming online.

Liquidity:

Figure 8: Liquidity Indicators

| Lucky Cement | | | | | BWCL | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 16,029 | 16,493 | 10,607 | 13,215 | 14,743 | 15,845 | 10,299 | 12,624 |
| FFO/Total Debt | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.77 | 1.06 | 0.69 | 1.40 |
| Current Ratio | 4.1 | 4.48 | 3.44 | 4.29 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| | N | ЛLCF | | | | AC | CPL | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 8,902 | 7,189 | 4,158 | 6,028 | 2,616 | 3,061 | 2,785 | 2,465 |
| FFO/Total Debt | 2.93 | 1.07 | 0.41 | 1.44 | 328.81 | 0.85 | 0.74 | 1.05 |
| Current Ratio | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| | Fauji | Cement | | | | Asl | kari | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9N | /18 |
| FFO | 8,067 | 3,365 | 2,873 | 2,527 | 3,562 | 3,434 | 1, | 781 |
| FFO/Total Debt | 1.97 | 1.87 | 2.44 | 1.47 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0. | 22 |
| Current Ratio | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0 | .6 |
| | C | CHCC | | | Kohat Cement | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 1,704 | 2,894 | 2,491 | 2,284 | 3,758 | 4,181 | 2,292 | 3,549 |
| FFO/Total Debt | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.59 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.6 |
| Current Ratio | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| | | GKC | | | Gharibwal | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 9,983 | 7,755 | 6,434 | 6,705 | 3,455 | 3,116 | 1,545 | 2,483 |
| FFO/Total Debt | 1.43 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 0.69 | 0.48 | 1.02 |
| Current Ratio | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| | F | PIOC | | | | | Cement | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 2,641 | 2,915 | 1,405 | 2,739 | 566 | 771 | 409 | 603 |
| FFO/Total Debt | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.44 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 1.13 |
| Current Ratio | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| | FECTO | Cement | | | | Power | Cement | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| FFO | 1,046 | 721 | 430 | 686 | 385 | 179 | 155 | 287 |
| FFO/Total Debt | NA | NA | 29.64 | NA | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.32 |
| Current Ratio | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 1.4 |

- In line with decline in profitability, cash flows from operations for most industry players have witnessed a decline.
- Debt servicing ability for most industry players remains strong with high FFO/Total Debt ratios.
- LUCK has the strongest liquidity profile amongst industry players given the healthy cash flows, sizeable liquid investments, debt free balance sheet and highest current ratio.

<u>Capitalization:</u>
Figure 9: Capitalization Indicators

| Lucky Cement | | | | | BWCL | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | | |
| Total debt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,187 | 14,888 | 19,836 | 11,985 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 16,586 | 17,552 | 21,976 | 18,401 | 35,837 | 33,996 | 40,345 | 31,952 | | |
| Total Equity | 69,323 | 79,785 | 84,090 | 76,511 | 41,983 | 47,769 | 50,282 | 47,069 | | |
| Leverage | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | | |
| Gearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | | |
| | N | 1LCF | | | | DG | iKC | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | | |
| Total debt | 3,036 | 6,723 | 13,423 | 5,558 | 7,002 | 21,615 | 29,083 | 7,849 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 10,685 | 19,433 | 24,165 | 15,465 | 17,635 | 33,503 | 42,197 | 29,028 | | |
| Total Equity | 16,750 | 19,384 | 28,958 | 18,605 | 65,783 | 74,869 | 77,313 | 72,747 | | |
| Leverage | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | | |
| Gearing | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | | |
| | Fauji | Cement | | | | Asl | kari | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9N | 118 | | |
| Total debt | 4,090 | 1,801 | 1,565 | 2,286 | 7,324 | 8,185 | 10, | 856 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 10,930 | 8,072 | 8,269 | 9,186 | 13,342 | 13,833 | 16, | 217 | | |
| Total Equity | 18,428 | 19,681 | 20,561 | 19,039 | 4,808 | 4,559 | 12, | 794 | | |
| Leverage | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2 | .8 | | |
| Gearing | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1 | .9 | | |
| | ACPL | | | | | Kohat (| Cement | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | | |
| Total debt | 8 | 3,585 | 5,002 | 3,120 | 1,593 | 910 | 497 | 982 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 3,980 | 5,954 | 12,713 | 6,981 | 5,575 | 4,886 | 5,120 | 6,287 | | |
| Total Equity | 10,447 | 11,948 | 12,228 | 11,254 | 13,770 | 15,307 | 17,293 | 14,883 | | |
| Leverage | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0.42 | | |
| Gearing | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.07 | | |
| | С | нсс | | | | Ghar | ibwal | | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | | |
| Total debt | 4,231 | 6,401 | 11,779 | 5,128 | 3,148 | 4,506 | 4,242 | 3,239 | | |
| Total Liabilities | 6,322 | 8,345 | 14,744 | 7,252 | 8,224 | 10,234 | 10,612 | 9,916 | | |
| Total Equity | 9,140 | 10,462 | 11,329 | 10,034 | 6,562 | 8,007 | 8,534 | 7,148 | | |
| Leverage | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | | |
| | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | | |
| Gearing | | PIOC | | | | | Thatta Cement | | | |
| Gearing | | IOC | | | | Thatta | Cement | | | |
| Gearing Rs. In m | | IOC FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | Cement 9M18 | 9M17 | | |
| | Р | · | 9M18 7,360 | 9M17 1,269 | FY16 1,278 | | | 9M17 713 | | |
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | | | | FY17 | 9M18 | | | |
| Rs. In m Total debt | FY16 645 | FY17 2,307 | 7,360 | 1,269 | 1,278 | FY17 743 | 9M18 573 | 713 | | |
| Rs. In m Total debt Total Liabilities | FY16 645 4,098 | FY17 2,307 5,513 | 7,360 11,672 | 1,269 5,475 | 1,278 1,870 | FY17 743 1,455 | 9M18 573 1,265 | 713 1,574 | | |

| FECTO Cement | | | | | Power Cement | | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Rs. In m | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 | FY16 | FY17 | 9M18 | 9M17 |
| Total debt | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1,576 | 1,468 | 5,856 | 1,180 |
| Total Liabilities | 843 | 926 | 1,020 | 982 | 3,895 | 2,993 | 7,223 | 3,441 |
| Total Equity | 3,233 | 3,894 | 4,098 | 3,740 | 2,348 | 8,394 | 11,276 | 3,684 |
| Leverage | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Gearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |

- Equity base of a number of players have increased owing to internal capital generation and rights issue by select players to fund expansion.
- Leveraging profile of the sector has witnessed noticeable increase given the higher debt drawdown to fund expansion.
- Apart from two players, gearing ratio (interest bearing debt to equity) of all players was reported below 1(x).
- Despite expansion plans and announced expansions, Kohat Cement (KOHC), FCCL and Gharibwal Cement have witnessed a limited increase in debt levels. Leveraging profile will increase once debt draw down for the expansion happens.

Analysts Contacts

Talha Igbal

Senior Manager talha.iqbal@jcrvis.com.pk

<u>Sidra Ahsan Qureshi</u>

Assistant Manager sidra.qureshi@jcrvis.com.pk